Productivity of Upper Yakima Spring Chinook Salmon Before and During Supplementation

Todd Pearsons and Gabriel Temple Yakima/Klickitat Fisheries Project Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife * This talk does not necessarily represent the views of WDFW, YN, YKFP, or BPA



State-of-the-art Hatchery

- Representative collection of 100% natural origin brood (first brood taken in 1997)
- Factorial mating
- Low rearing density
- Underwater feeding and overhead cover
- Strict disease management
- Volitional release from acclimation ponds

Hatchery Recruitment – More Redds Pearsons et al. 2007

• The mean difference in upper Yakima (supplemented) and Naches (control) stock redd counts between 1981 and 2000 (before supplementation) was 538 redds.

 During supplementation (2001-2006), the upper Yakima River redd counts averaged 1,750 higher than the Naches redd counts.

Fall Parr Abundance Before and During Supplementation



Upper Yakima Redds to Fall Parr (1 year later)

Ksp = 1697Kr = 112.8



Upper Yakima Redd Count

Ricker Model Before vs. During Supplementation



Upper Yakima Redd Count

Linear Ricker Before vs. During Supplementation



Upper Yakima Redd Count

ANCOVA P<0.0007

Hatchery Origin Spawners vs. Ricker Model Residuals



% Hatchery Origin Spawners

Fall Parr Abundance Before and During Supplementation



1993-2000

2001-2007

Fall Parr Size



Redd Abundance vs Fall Parr Size the Next Year



Upper Yakima Redd Count

Redd Abundance vs. Fall Parr Size (Before and During Supp.)



ANCOVA P = 0.40

Possible Mechanisms

 Life-history traits Adult shape Female reproductive traits • Reproductive success Spawning habitat • Predation Competition

Preliminary Conclusions

- Density-dependent constraints to natural parr production and size
- Reduction in natural parr productivity associated with supplementation
- Natural production is limited by an interaction between environmental and biological capacity of hatchery fish

Some Benefits

Expanded spawning distribution
Increased harvest
Learning benefits
Many variables that were not mentioned were not detectably different (e.g., male reproductive success)

Thanks

All of the people from the Yakama Nation, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, and National Marine Fisheries Service who worked on this project
BPA Funded this work

Molly Kelly constructed schematics

The End

Upper Yakima Parr vs. Chandler Smolts (total)



Log Fall Parr/km

Upper Yakima Parr to Smolt

