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## Background: reintroduction



Cle Elum Lake:

Reintroduction site
> Donor stocks: Upper Columbia River from The Wenatchee River \& Okanogan River systems
> Collected at Tumwater Dam and Wells Dam for baseline
> Outplants collected at Priest Rapids dam, downstream of both locations of origin
> Proportions among outplants for reintroduction are unknown

## Sampling \& Analysis to date

$>$ An O. nerka reference baseline: - includes Wenatchee River stock and Okanogan River stock
$>$ PRD outplant random sample: - 2011 ( $n=275$ ), and 2012 ( $n=849$ )
> Outmigrating juvenile collections: - 2012 Chandler trap ( $n=196$ ), and Roza ( $n=7$ )
> Carcass collections: - $1^{\text {st }}$ spawn run $2011(n=38)$

- $2^{\text {nd }}$ spawn run 2011 ( $n=23$ )
- $1^{\text {st }}$ spawn run $2012(n=29)$
$>$ Putative adult "strays" sampled at Roza: - sampled 2009, 2010 \& $2012(\mathrm{n}=207)$


## Genetic structure: Columbia-wide perspective

Three dimensional scatter plot of PCA Eigen Vectors - (Kamakura@duke.edu)


## Background: factors of distinction



## Two Methods To Estimate Stock Proportions

1. Bayesian cluster analysis: STRUCTURE v.2.3.4
$>$ For each individual, determine "membership" in two inferred populations


Example: Individual \#10
~70\% (population \#1)
~30\% (population \#2)
2. Genetic Stock Identification (GSI): ONCOR
$>$ test assignment accuracy for reference baseline using simulation.....then,
$>$ assign origin of "unknown" sample using maximum likelihood

## Testing Reference Populations: Baseline



Wells Dam (Okanogan); n=212

baseline analysis: Method Concordance

|  | structure mean |  |  | ONCOR |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| collection | (n) | WE | OK | $100 \%$ sim. | STD |
|  |  |  |  |  | $1.00(\mathrm{WE})$ |
| Wenatchee_2012 | 92 | 0.98 | 0.02 |  | 0.0004 |
| Tumwater Dam 2004 | 97 | 0.98 | 0.02 | $1.00(\mathrm{WE})$ | 0.0000 |
| Tumwater Dam 2005 | 155 | 0.98 | 0.02 | $1.00(\mathrm{WE})$ | 0.0000 |
| Wells Dam 2004 | 91 | 0.02 | 0.98 | $1.00(\mathrm{OK})$ | 0.0003 |
| Wells Dam 2005 | 121 | 0.03 | 0.97 |  | $1.00(\mathrm{OK})$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0.0000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

basically the rate of self-assignment

## Estimates for Sockeye Reintroduction

$\square$ Wenatchee-"like"
$\square$ Okanogan-"like"

PRD outplants 2011 ( $\mathrm{n}=275$ )


PRD outplants 2012 ( $\mathrm{n}=849$ )


## Estimates for Sockeye Reintroduction

$\square$ Wenatchee-"like"
$\square$ Okanogan-"like"

Carcass: only 31 of 90 recovered


## Estimates for Sockeye Reintroduction

Roza adults (stray)

> Some of these may be jacks (?), OR fish that left the lake through the dam (?) Wenatchee population exhibits low frequency of 3 -year old fish (Gustafson et al. 1997)

## In Summary

## GSI (ONCOR)

| collection | ( n ) | stock proportions |  | mean Prob. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | WE | OK | WE | OK |
| Cle Elum Reintroduction |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priest outplants 2011 | 275 | 0.25 | 0.75 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Priest outplants 2012 | 849 | 0.16 | 0.84 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Carcass (early) | 20 | 0.00 | 1.00 | --- | 1.00 |
| Carcass (late) | 11 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | --- |
| Chandler Trap juveniles | 196 | 0.84 | 0.16 | 1.00 | 0.99 |
| strays |  |  |  |  |  |
| Roza adults (2009) | 18 | 0.06 | 0.94 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Roza adults (2010) | 41 | 0.00 | 1.00 | --- | 1.00 |
| Roza adults (2012) | 155 | 0.06 | 0.94 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

> Stock proportions observed among juveniles seem to complement carcass results: very few hybrids (OK x WE) = temporally differentiated spawning times (?)
> Need to validate potential temporal differentiation in spawning time: timing contrary to populations of origin (i.e., Wenatchee-type later spawning)

## In Summary: Questions to explore

> Outplants predominantly of Okanogan (OK) origin
> Outmigrating smolts predominantly of Wenatchee (WE) origin, sampled in only a few days (?) Is this representative of total outmigration (?), and indicative of higher productivity (?)
> Wenatchee stock essentially not present among sampled strays (?) Outplants didn't volitionally enter the Yakima, how will their progeny behave (?)

Okanogan


## Goals for future genetic monitoring......

## $\Rightarrow$ MORE $ص$

> Continued carcass sampling: focus on fresher morts, spatial distributions, sample dates
> Continued juvenile sampling: focus on temporal distributions (protracted sampling)
> Continued outplant sampling: stock proportions

## And the meat \& potatoes

$>$ Evaluate returning adult progeny arising from reintroduction efforts - stock proportions and/or hybrids

- second generation productivity
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