20 Years of Flip Flop: What Can We Determine About its Effects on Salmonids?



Martin Fox, Ian Courter, Brian Pyper, and Steve Cramer



Flip-Flop Origins

- Major and Mighell (1969) estimated 30-50% mortality of spring chinook eggs from dewatering in upper Yakima River
- 1980 Quackenbush Decision mandated that the Yakima Project be operated to reduce impacts on fish. Minimum of 2 inches of water flowing over redd tailspills
- USBR established SOAC
- Flip-Flop was designed to protect fish and satisfy irrigation deliveries



The Flip-Flop Strategy

- Prevent de-watering of spring Chinook redds below upper Yakima dams
- <u>Underlying assumption</u>: spring Chinook production was limited by egg survival
- Decrease outflow during spring Chinook spawning
- Switch to water from Rimrock Reservoir to supply downstream water needs



Keechelus Reservoir Kachess Reservoir Cle Elum J Reservoir Easton Reservoir Easton Cle Elum Scale in Kilometers 4.8 km. river width exaggerated each dot represents approximately 10 redds Ellensburg BUSIN Bumping Reservoir Creek Roza Dan Naches Tieton Rimrock Reservoi Yakima

Distribution of spring Chinook spawning redds in the Yakima Basin, 1957–1961

(from Major and Mighell 1969)



Yakima and Naches Flows (2006)







Yakima River. at Cle Elum



Naches River NR Naches Regulated, Unregulated Discharge Summary Hydrographs





Objectives of this Study

- Review in-basin and out-of-basin research related to the potential effects of Flip-Flop
- Analyze currently available data to quantify the effects of Flip-flop on spring Chinook
- Identify any needs for studies to fill critical data gaps



Critical Questions Over 20-Years of Flip-Flop

 Is the operation successful at sustaining aquatic life while meeting the water demands in the Yakima Basin?

• What are the positive and negative impacts of Flipflop to Chinook and other salmonids, as well as to water consumption?

 Are there more effective means to support salmonid production and water uses by modifying the Flip-flop operation?



What Information is Available?

- No estimate of the %redds dewatered as flow drops
- Adult escapement and spawning surveys
- Smolt abundance at Prosser
- Juvenile growth rates in the upper Yakima
- Juvenile habitat use in upper Yakima
- PIT tag detections to estimate smolt migration survival



Potential Tradeoffs

- Spring Chinook eggs gain some level of protection
- Is abundance of fry limiting spring chinook production?
- Is rearing capacity limiting production
- Is migration survival limiting production?
- Is salmonid production in the Naches Basin altered by Flip Flop?



Recruitment of Age-0+ Spring Chinook Rearing in the Upper Yakima River

Pearsons et al. (2005)



Age-0+ Spring Chinook Size (Sept-Oct) Versus the Number of Redds the Year Prior

Pearsons et al. (2005)



Redd counts (Upper Yakima)



Potential Tradeoffs

If juvenile rearing is the bottleneck,...

 Can we retrain Flip Flop, but also increase rearing capacity?

OR...

 Can we gain more juvenile habitat by reducing summer flows, such that rearing gains exceed egg losses?



Rearing Habitat vs Flow

Pearsons et al. 2006

- Compared habitable rearing area, based on depth velocities, in three reaches before and after Flip Flop
- Habitable area decreased in two reaches and increased in the third when flows dropped after flip flop













Legend

Salmonid Density (fish/m2)

0.0351 - 0.8330 0.0081 - 0.0350 0.0021 - 0.0080 0.0001 - 0.0020

-998-9999 - 0.0000

-999.0000









Critical Data Gaps

- Determine the relationship of egg-to-fry survival to flows after spawning. How does the timing and magnitude of flow reduction affect egg survival?
- Observation or tracking of juvenile behavior to change in flow at time of Flip Flop. Do they shift habitats? What proportion migrate?
- Determine the relationship of parr habitat capacity to flow
- Estimate freshwater survival for each subbasin with substantial spring Chinook production



