# Long-term evaluation of the effects of hatchery supplementation programs on the Productivity of a Natural-Origin (Wild) Spring Chinook Population

Shubha N. Pandit<sup>1</sup>, William J. Bosch<sup>1</sup>, Mark V. Johnston<sup>1</sup>, Andrew P. Matala<sup>1</sup>, David Lind<sup>1,2</sup>, Chris Frederiksen<sup>1</sup>, Charlie Strom<sup>1</sup>, Melinda Goudy<sup>1</sup>, Joe Blodgett<sup>1</sup>, Garrett J. McKinney<sup>3</sup>, Todd R. Seamons<sup>3</sup>, Gabriel M. Temple<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Yakama Nation Fisheries, Toppenish, WA, USA; <sup>2</sup>Wakish, PLLC, Wapato, WA, USA; <sup>3</sup>WDFW, Olympia, WA, USA





### Background



 Supplementation program is being implemented to reduce the declines of the population size and conserve natural-origin populations

#### Supplementation program:

 A fraction of an adult population is taken into a hatchery for reproduction and rearing, and their progeny are released back into the natural environment

#### Potential Positive Effects:

- Refugia: Hold fish from remnant populations through high-mortality life stages
- Reintroduction: re-establish extirpated stocks
- Treaty obligations: hatcheries provides harvest opportunities and help maintain essential elements of tribal subsistence and culture

#### Potential Negative Effects:

- Ecological: Competition, direct predation or disease transmission
- Domestication: Loss of local adaptation leading to decreased fitness of both hatchery and wild fish reproducing in the natural environment
- Inbreeding depression: Decrease in fitness due to mating between related individuals

  HONOR. PROTECT. RESTORE.

## Background




- Supplementation programs increased abundance at some of the life stages of Chinook, and negative effects did not persist into the postsupplementation phase and had no apparent influence on postsupplementation productivity (Venditti et al. 2018)
- Productivity of hatchery fish pairs spawning naturally was not significantly lower than for wild fish pairs of Chinook in the Salmon River basin. It suggested that the program can successfully boost population size with minimal impacts on the fitness of salmon in the wild (Hess et al. 2012)
- Hatchery programs have reported reduced fitness of hatchery-origin fish relative to natural-origin fish spawning in the wild (Araki et al. 2007; reviewed in Araki et al. 2008; Christie et al. 2011, 2012; Hayes et al. 2013)
- There were few or no negative effects on fitness of wild populations due to hatchery influence (Heggenes et al. 2006; Sharma et al. 2006; Schroder et al. 2008, 2010; Hess et al. 2012; Anderson et al. 2013)

Evaluation of long-term hatchery effects on wild populations is warranted.

#### Supplementation program in Yakima Basin




Segregated
(minimize
interbreeding
between hatchery
stock & natural pop<sup>n</sup>)



<u>Integrated</u>

(minimize genetic divergence between the hatchery and the natural pop<sup>n</sup>)

Nat= Natural origin Hat=Hatchery Origin



Circles represent brood years (BYs). The progeny of each brood return to the upper Yakima River to spawn 3–5 years later.

HONOR, PROTECT, RESTORE.


## Yakima River Basin and the area of supplementation program implementation






#### **American and Naches Rivers**

- Few anthropogenic impacts
- Cold water temperature
- Favorable spawning & rearing habitat for Chinook, and other salmonids
- Not supplemented





#### **Upper Yakima River**

•The upper Yakima spring Chinook population is the target of hatchery supplementation, with an annual release of ~700,000 smolts.

HONOR. PROTECT. RESTORE.

## Wild Yakima Basin Spring Chinook Stocks & hypothesis




- The three wild Yakima Basin Spring Chinook populations (<u>Upper Yakima River</u>, <u>Naches River</u>, and <u>American River</u>) are reproductively isolated and differ in productivity
- Because the Upper Yakima population is supplemented but not the American and Naches populations, we can hypothesize that rate of decline of wild Spring Chinook should be higher in the Upper Yakima than in the American and Naches rivers if the hatchery program has affected the wild productivity

**Naches River** 

Population size

American Rive


time




## Methodology



Smolt Productivity






- The Prosser Dam and Chandler Diversion Canal are located downstream from virtually all salmonid spawning and rearing in the Yakima Basin, conveying 1000-1500 cfs during the out-migration season
- All species entering the juvenile bypass system are counted over the entire out-migration period January-July.
- Genetic samples are also taken to determine population of origin (Upper Yakima, American, Naches) and hatcheryproduced smolts are identified from external marks
- Counts by species and population are expanded to total passage using flow-entrainment and canal survival models.

HONOR, PROTECT, RESTORE.

### Methodology

Yakama Nation Fisheries

• Adult Returns in Yakima Basin



- Adult counts + Harvest 
   total adult returns in Yakima basin
- Genetic analysis 

   stock identification



Roza Dam



Video
Adult
counts/or
captured




Prosser Dam

## Results: Smolt out-migration from Prosser (smolt productivity in Yakima river)




28%





#### Spring Chinook




#### C. Genetic variation in outmigration (natural) smolts F(32, 255)=3.67, p<0.01 0.9 Stock percentage of Proseer Passage of Wild Stock **Upper Yakima** 61% 0.6 0.5 American

2002 2004 2006 2008 2010 2012 2014 2016 2018

## Results: Smolt productivity (Natural vs. Hatchery)






The total number of natural-origin spring chinook smolts has decreased over time but the hatchery-origin out-migration has

increased

#### Results: Smolt productivity (Natural-origins






The outmigration of all the wild/natural stocks declined, but the Upper Yakima outmigration declined the least

#### Results: Adult Returns in Yakima River





Year


## Adult return% based


on smolt productivity

**Smolts** Origin Adu % lts Americ 22613 2.62 592 an 1230 2.11 58217 **Naches** 132685 2988 2.25 Upper Yakima Upper 331203 4135 1.25 Yakima

#### Results: Adult returns (Natural vs. Hatchery)








Returns of both Upper Yakima groups are decreasing over time

#### Results: Adult Returns (Natural-Origins only)





The rate of decline of adult returns was slightly higher in Naches, then by upper Yakima; and the slowest decline was in the American river (but not significantly different)

### Summary/take home message



- The total number of wild/natural-origin <u>smolt</u>
   <u>productivity</u> declined over time, but the hatchery-origin smolt productivity has been increasing
- Although <u>smolt productivity</u> of all three wild/natural stocks has decreased, the Upper Yakima wild/natural stocks' productivity declined the least
- The number of <u>adult returns</u> of all three wild stocks as well as hatchery of upper Yakima river have decreased over time, but the highest decline was in Naches wild stock, followed by Upper Yakima wild stock, and lowest in American stock but they were not significantly different
- The study suggests that hatchery supplementation seemed to have a positive effect on the Upper Yakima wild/natural stock, protecting it from steep declines

### Acknowledgments





 All staff at the Chandler Juvenile Monitoring Facility and Cle Elum Supplementation and Research Facility



## Questions?

HONOR, PROTECT, RESTORE.